Dear Jim,

2/21/77

The 1996 pix xeroxes of which you obtained last week are not those your tjought.

I'll have another examination when I get the glossys, which will have legends on the back that are more legible. If you pick these pix up please examine them for this and please be certain that they have sequential numbers.

However, these pictures are I think evidence of Wiseman's deliberateness in his false swearing I believe is perjury. They are not the only proof and my disposition is to accumulate more before doing anything about it. Or trying to.

I was able to separate these mixed up but very clear xeroxes into two sets neither complete. The numbering of those taken 4/5 begis with 31, for example. There are some numbers indicating indentification and sequence on the other set, which is of only 16.

Each set is in two files, regardless of the negatives being in Memphis. One is the HQ file we are in, 44-38861. It is serial 6112 X in that. The other is ~~fxx~~ I believe a Memphis F.O. number, 44-~~1987~~1987. In that it is serial 1A-26.

Each is accompanied by letters, those of 4/4 (3-62) T.H.S. and the others what looks like E eMe, with the M written like the capital, with ~~xhxxx~~ ahapp points and no spacing.

The 4/4 pictures were taken after dark. I'm sure this applies to all of them, even the interior ones. I'll not go into the reasoning relating to those that show no outside but I tell you this is true of all exterior shots.

By that time there was no press reporter interested in taking these kinds of shots in this number. You can be sure they shot as fast as possible and got the pictures out that fast.

You included serial 146 with this. Is this your idea or did they give it to you with the pictures? I believe this is the FBI's own pix ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ or those of the Memphis police. If those of the locals then one set is missing. They provided three sets. There is another Memphis report covering the other police pictures and as I recall still another referring to the FBI's own, with the photographer(s) masked.

If you can find references fast I'd appreciate them. Otherwise I'll read my notes on the earlier sections in which these were referred to. I'm sorry I did not set up a separate file, as I have today.

There is some mystery, like why is there so large a self-standing capital H in so many pictures in so many places. There is ~~alx~~ some evidentiary value. This is why they were withheld and may explain why others are still missing.

The author of this report in the form of an airtel is a little too cute. He sends the pictures "for the information of the Bureau" without giving their source. (Had the acronym Murkin been selected by 4/7? I thought later but I guess not.) What the hell kind of evidence is this, sourcesless, not one to testify to it?

Let us wait until we get to serial ~~6x~~ 6112 if necessary, as I think it will not be, to put it before Green. I'd rather delay because it is hardly possible the FBI did not acquire any newspix and I do not want them pretending it is top secret when they come to it. Or inserting a sheet saying that serial was missed.

Best,